Johnson, J. (1984). Research and the decision-making process. The Computing Teacher, 11(6), 67.

Spector, P.E. (1981). Research designs. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications. Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences Series.

Thatch, D.W. (1983). Optional computer multiple choice quizzes affect student grade performance. NACTA Journal, 27(1).

Tice, T.F. (1981). Grade recording and statistics program for microcomputers. NACTA Journal, 25(4), 11-13.

# Using a Commercial Spreadsheet Package for Grading

## Norman D. Reese

The traditional instructor's gradebook has been on the way out since the first computer was introduced in the educational environment. The advantages of using a computer for this data-handling task are obvious and do not have to be repeated here after so many articles have already been written describing various gradebook packages available to educators today.

There is a tendency in this field, however, to concentrate on highly-specific programs written in BASIC that reflect only the author's needs for grade recording and calculating. Grading is a subjective task that is usually handled in as many ways as there are educators. In addition, there are many of us who simply are not programmers and who do not care to be programmers, but would also like to be able to tailor a grading program to our particular needs and prejudices. Fortunately, there is a way out.

#### Background

At Michigan State, we have introduced a course, Introduction to Microcomputers, which is a basic "computer literacy" course, aimed at our two-year students in the Institute of Agricultural Technology. In this course, we assert that 80-90% of the student's computing needs may be met through the use of one or more of the three generalized software packages: the word processor, the spreadsheet, and the data base. The advantage of using these menu-driven programs in place of individually-written software is that no knowledge of programming languages is required.

This distinction is important. We feel that the term, "computer literacy" does not necessarily, nor should it, imply a knowledge of BASIC or any other programming language. Using these languages effectively is a special art that is not easily mastered unless one decides to devote considerable time to the effort. Due to the great number of professional programmers in the world today who are writing new and easier-to-use software, it becomes less and less necessary for a computer user to know programming. Instead, the user may, today, choose among a wide range of easy-to-learn, interactive, menu-driven programs, that can be quickly tailored to a specific application, thus finally placing the computer in its proper niche as a TOOL, rather than a novel toy.

For these reasons, the gradebook used in our introductory course is not a special purpose grading package, but a template for a commercially-available

Reese is an instructor at the Institute of Agricultural Technology Department of Agricultural Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824. spreadsheet. SuperCalc2 (TM), tailored to fit the grading requirements of the course. The same spreadsheet template is used in other courses as well, with eaily-made modifications to adapt it to the specific course requirements.

### A Spreadsheet Template for Grading

Figure 1 shows the spreadsheet template with student numbers and grades. The spreadsheet itself may be thought of as a large piece of paper marked off with vertical and horizontal lines to create a great number of "cells", which may contain data, formulas, or text. The rules for creating various templates for performing different chores are much easier to learn than are programming languages, due to the much smaller number of these rules,

On the template, all that is entered is the individual scores for each exercise, the student names and numbers. Everything else on the sheet, all averages and grade points, are calculated automatically. Each time a new exercise is completed, the scores are entered, new averages calculated, and a new spreadsheet printed and posted, all in about ten minutes' time. Normally, all that is posted is everything shown except the student names and disk numbers, which are either cut off manually or omitted in the print. The weighting factors can be changed as the term progresses and the "final average" column automatically reflects the new weights, as does the "grade" column.

Most grade posting spreadsheets, such as this one, are too wide to print in one pass. For this reasons, we have also purchased another inexpensive commercial program, called Sideways (TM), that, in effect, turns your dot-mattrix printed 90 degrees to print wide spreadhseets in a single pass. Super-Calc3 (TM) includes this useful utility automatically. Adding a macro processor, such as Prokey (TM) or Superkey (TM), makes it feasible, as we are doing at MSU, to post weekly summary grade sheets for a class with over 150 students. That particular class has 10 homework assignments, 9 lab exercises, two tests and a final exam. With 150 enrolled, that makes a staggering total of 3300 grades to record, weight and average! Each week, however, it is only necessary to enter the weekly scores, and, a Prokey (TM) - generated macro then prints seven spreadhseets (one per section) while the grader does something else.

Many readers will immediately ask, "Why didn't they include \_\_\_\_\_?" The reason is that it didn't seem important to us. If it does to you, it is the work of a few minutes to change the spreadsheet template to include \_\_\_\_\_, or whatever else you desire.

Figure 1. Grading With Spreadsheets.

	D1-AH44	1-AH44 AT Ú9Ó - INTI						MI CF	ROCOM	PUTE	RS								
I.			!	·1- I		l I				LAB	5			II		-	] FINAL ]		I I CURRENT HEIGHTS:
	NAME				ST. NUMBER I	-		2	3	4		6	7	AVERAGE I		FIN I		GRADE	
_			I	T-	]	_	· 							I		1			
-	Student	Nane .	- I 1	Ī	12345678		19	65	100	64	100	64	100	84.57 I	77	79 I	•	•	
I	Student I	Nane	I 2	I	12345679	. 9	17	66	99	65	99	65	100	84.43 I		82 I			
I	Student	Name	I 3	I	12345680 I	. 9	5	67	98	66	98	66	100	84.29 I	73	82 I	80.21	3.00	I FINAL WEIGHTS:
I	Student I	Name	1 4	I	12345681	. 9	3	68	97	67	97	67	100	84.14 I	77	91 I	84.06	3.00	I LABS: 402
I	Student	Name	I 5	I	12345682	. 9	1	69	96	68	96	68	100	84.00 I	50	85 I	74.10	2.00	I midterm: 30%
I	Student I	Name	I 6	I	12345683	1	9	70	95	69	95	69	100	83.86 I	63	82 I	77.04	2.50	I FINAL: 30%
I	Student I	Name :	I 7	I	12345684	]	7	71	94	70	94	70	100	83.71 I	77	94 ]	84.79	3.50	I
I	Student I	Name :	1 8	I	12345685	[ {	15	72	93	71	93	71	100	83.57 I	70	94 ]	82.63	3.00	I
I	Student	Nane	I 9	I	12345686	]	3	73	92	72	92		100	83.43 I	67	76 1	76.27	2.50	I
I	Student	Nane	I iù	I	12345687	[ 8	1	74	91	73	91	73	100	83.29 I	57	79 1	74.11	2.00	I
I	Student	Name	I 11	I	12345688	[ ]	9	75	90	74	90		100	&3.14 I	60	91	78.56	2.50	I
I	Student	Name	I 12	I	12345689		7	76	89	75	89	75	100	8:3.00 I		91 ]			
I	Student		I 13		12345690		'5	77	88	76	38		100	€i2.86 I		73			
I	Student 1		I 14		12345691		'3	78	87	77	87		100	£2.71 I		73	_	I 2.00	I
I	Student 1		I 15		12345692		1	79	86	78	86		100	€i2.57 I		79			
	Student		I 16	-	12345693		9	80	85	79	85		100	€:2.43 1		100			
	Student		I 17	-	12345694		57	81	84	80	84		100	ξ:2.29 I		88		I 3.00	_
-	Student		I 18		12345695		55	82	83	81	83		100	ξ:2 <b>.1</b> 4 1		85			
	Student		I 19	_	12345696		3	83	82	82	82		100	ξ:2,00 I		79			
_	Student		I 20	-	12345697		1	84	81	83	81		100	ξ:1.86 ]		91			
	Student		I 21		12345698		9	85	80	84	80		100	ξ:1.71		91			
-	Student		I 22		12345699	_	57	86	79	85	79		100	ξ:1.57 I					
	Student		I 23		12345700		55	87	78	86	78		100	ξ:1.43 I					
	Student		I 24		12345701		3	88	77	87	77		100	E:1.29 ]		91	-	-	
	Student		I 25		12345702		1	89	76	88	76		100	ξ:1.14 :		67			
-	Student		I 26	_	12345703		19	90	75	89 90	75		100	E:1.00 (					
	Student		I 27 I 28	-	12345704 1 12345705		17 15	91 92	74 73	91	74 73		100 100	€:0.86 : €:0.71 :		0 88			
	Student	· -	I 29		12345706		13	93	72	92	72		100						
	Student Student		I 30		12345707		11	94	71	93		93		€:0.57 €:0.43					
_	_		I 31	-	12345708		39	95	70	94	70		100	£:0.29		79			
	Student Student		I 32		12345709		37	96	69	95	69		100	£:0.14					_
_	Student		I 33		12345710		35	97	68	96	68		100	€:0.00				-	
-	Student		I 34		12345711		33	98	67	97	67		100	7 '9.86					_
_	Student		I 35	-	12345712		31	99	66	98	66		100	7'9.71					
_	Student		I 36		12345713			100	65	99	65		100	7.11			_		
	Student		I 37		12345714			100		100			100	7.37			74.01		
•	> codenic	110/16	,	•	120 (0111	• '	• •	100	٠,	100	٠,	100		1 7.27	. 30		1 1.01	. 2.00	•
	AVERAGE				AVERAGE		3	83.	82	82	82	82	100	€ 12.00	66	82	77.19	2.55	

Normally, such a template will grow with use, and will be updated and changed frequently. The typical commercial spreadsheet is a remarkably powerful tool, including many unexpected mathematical capabilities, such as Mod functions, conditional transfers, statistical functions, and, in fact, most of the operations found in most grading programs.

To use the template effectively, the user must first learn how to use SuperCalc (TM), but this is a far cry from learning BASIC. Most first-time users of this or similar spreadsheets can be creating their own templates after only a few sessions with the computer. This model is also useable with any similar spreadsheet package, such as PC-Calc (TM), VisiCalc (TM), Lotus 1,2,3 (TM) and others, with only minor modifications.

#### Summary

A spreadsheet template has been presented that can be quickly learned by anyone to the extent that it can be tailored to individual requirements, unlike many grading programs written in BASIC that cannot be modified by non-programmers. A complete contents

summary of this spreadsheet may be had from the author by sending a self-addressed, 9"x12" envelope to the author's address.

SuperCalc2 and SuperCalc3 are trademarks of Sorcim Corporation; Sideways is a trademark of Funk Software; Superkey is a trademark of Borland International; Prokey is a trademark of RoseSoft; Lotus 1,2,3 is a trademark of Lotus Development Corp.; VisiCalc is a trademark of VisiCorp; PC-Calc is a trademark of Buttonware.

# NACTA Conference Columbia, Missouri June, 1987