

Assessment of the Critical Thinking Skills in an Animal Science Curriculum

Sarah Al-Mazroa, Dr. Michael Retallick, and Dr. Brad Skaar Department of Agricultural Education Iowa State University



ISU

What is Critical Thinking?

 "a reasoned, purposive, and introspective approach to solving problems or addressing questions with incomplete evidence and information for which an incontrovertible solution is unlikely" (Rudd, Baker, & Hoover, 2000, p. 5).





Review of Literature

- Critical Thinking Assessments
 - Animal Science Department Utilizes the Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT) by Tennessee Technological University
 - STEM Based Questions
 - Fifteen Essay Questions
 - Four CT Domains:
 - » Evaluate and Interpret Information
 - » Problem Solving
 - » Creative Thinking
 - » Effective Communication
 - Faculty and staff score the exams according to a provided rubric



Background of Critical Thinking in the Animal Science Curriculum

- Fall 2013- Critical Thinking Assessment was performed on Seniors in Animal Science program
 - Utilized the Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT)
 - Senior scored:
 - At national norm for overall domains (*p*<0.05)
 - Seniors scored significantly below national norm
 - Skill Three: "Provide alternative explanations for a pattern of results that has many causes" (*p*<0.05)



Questions Left Unanswered from 2013 Critical Thinking Study

- What impact does the Department of Animal Science curriculum have on the animal science student's critical thinking skills?
- What are the levels of critical thinking when the students enter the program?
- Where are critical thinking skills being taught in the curriculum?
- Are instructors effectively teaching critical thinking in their courses?



ISl

Objectives of Study

- Compare Freshmen and Senior Animal Science students to the national critical thinking skill norms
- 2. Determine if there's a difference between freshmen and senior Animal Science students in critical thinking
- Determine if there's a difference in critical thinking skills based upon selected demographic variables



Methods of Study

- Instrument
 - Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT)
- Participants
 - Freshmen (n=55): 17 males, 38 females
 - Seniors (n=60): 13 males, 47 females
- Data Collection
 - Students were enrolled in: AnS 110 or AnS 411
 - Freshmen: came to the university from high school
 - <u>Seniors</u>: took all their core animal science courses at the university



Assumptions and Limitations

- Assumptions:
 - Groups of students were randomly sampled to represent the department's population
 - Senior group had similar life and coursework experiences

Limitations:

- Number of students
- Time
 - The same student wasn't tested as a freshmen and then as a senior



Objective One: Results Freshmen			
Skill Assessed by CAT	National Mean	Institution Mean	Effect Size
Q10) Separate relevant from irrelevant information	3.01	3.51**	+0.56
Q12) Use basic math skills when solving a real-world problem	0.75	0.89*	+0.37
Total CAT Score	13.66	15.19*	+0.32



ISU

Note: Significant at *p<.05, **p<.01



Objective One: Results Seniors

Skill Assessed by CAT	National Mean	Institution Mean	Effect Size
Q2) Evaluate how strong data supports a hypothesis	1.21*	0.92	027
Q7) Identify additional information needed to evaluate hypothesis	0.82** a	0.57	-0.4
Q15) Explain how changes in a real-world problem situation might affect the solution	1.15**	0.69	-0.51
Total CAT Score	19.04*	17.47	-0.28



Note: Significant at *p<.05, **p<.01

Objective One: Conclusions

- Department of Animal Science Freshmen are able to critically think above the level of peer institutions
- Department of Animal Science <u>Seniors</u> are not able to critically think at the level of peer institutions



ISU

Objective One: Recommendations

- Typically, coursework starts at the lowest level of cognitive thinking and work the students up (Fisher and Grant, 1983)
- Challenge the freshmen right away in the program
 - Above national norm= start a higher level of critical thinking





Objective Two: Results Overall Score Comparison

Grade Level	Std. Error	Mean
Freshmen	0.68	15.19
Seniors	0.73	17.47*

Note: Significant at *p<.05





Objective Two: Results Critical Thinking Domains

	CT Domain	Freshmen	/	Seniors	p- value
	Evaluate and Interpret Information	1.19		1.35	0.03*
<	Problem Solving	1.26		1.41	0.08
	Creative Thinking	0.8		0.97	0.02*
	Effective Communication	0.92		1.14	0.01*
	Note: Sig	gnificant at * <i>p</i> <	<.0	05	





Objective Two: Results Individual Skill Comparison

	\square		
CT Skill	Senior Mean	Freshmen Mean	p-value
Q3) Provide alternative explanations for results	1.10	0.71	0.03*
Q5) Evaluate whether information supports a hypothesis	0.72	0.55	0.05*
Q11) Use and apply relevant information	1.28	1.00	0.03*
Q13) Identify solutions for a problem	1.22	0.80	0.02*

Note: Significant at *p<.05



Objective Two: Conclusions

- There's a significant difference between the two grade levels ability to critically think
 - Might have multiple factors to cause the change
- Even with change in the two grade levels, seniors don't achieve the level that other institutions are providing for their students



Objective Two: Recommendations

- Start at a level of critical thinking according to the freshmen's ability
- Integrate critical thinking in curriculum as the overall outcome of the program (Broadbear, 2012; Swartz, 2000)
- Implement new teaching techniques
 - Problem-solving based assignments (Wagner, 2008)
 - Assignments, assessments, and outcomes must align (Haynes et. al., 2016)



ISI



Objective Three: Results Gender

		\bigwedge	
Gender	Std. Error	Mean	
Male	0.86	14.87	
Female	0.51	16.91	
		\bigvee	

No Significance Found





Objective Three: Results Gender within Grade Level

	Level and Gender	Mean	Std. Error
(Male, Freshman	14*	1.34
	Male, Senior	16	1.30
	Female, Freshman	15.72	0.76
\langle	Female, Senior	17.88*	0.68

Note: Significant at *p<.05



Objective Three: Conclusions

- No difference within gender for critical thinking skills
- There is a difference between freshmen males and senior females



Objective Three: Recommendations

- No further research needed for gender based study in critical thinking skills
- Focus on other demographics in future studies



Impact on Animal Science Curriculum

- Importance of the studies:
 - Most employers (75%) want colleges to put an emphasis on critical thinking skills
 - According to employers they want their employees to have learned critical thinking skills in school not in the industry

(Scanlon, Bruening, & Cordero, 1996; AACU, 2013)

- This study provides department personnel:
 - Students' critical thinking skills
 - Provides base knowledge for further research



ISU

Further Research

- Analysis on the specific teaching techniques and assessments tools being utilized in the curriculum for alignments and effectiveness
- Research the alignment of the courses and goals and outcomes of the curriculum
- Implement follow up assessments for continuous collaboration between courses to ensure the courses are building upon one another





Questions?





References

- Association of American Colleges and Universities. (2013). It takes more than a major: Employer priorities for college learning and student success. Retrieved from http://www.aacu.org
- Broadbear, J.T. (2012). Essential elements of lessons designed to promote critical thinking. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*. Volume 3, Number 3, pp 1-14.
- Fisher, C.G. & Grant, G.E. (1983). Intellectual levels in college classrooms. In C. L. Ellner & C. P. Barnes (Eds.), Studies in College Teaching, p. 47-60. Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath & Co.
- Haynes, A., Lisic, E., Goltz, M., Stein, B., & Harris, K. (2016). Moving Beyond Assessment to Improving Students' Critical Thinking Skills: A Model for Implementing Change. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*. Volume 16, No. 4, pp. 44-61





References

- Rudd, R., Baker, M., & Hoover, T. (2000). Undergraduate agriculture student learning styles and critical thinking abilities: Is there a relationship? Journal of Agricultural Education, 41(3), 2-12. doi: - 10.5032/jae.2000.03002
- Scanlon, D.C., Bruening, T.H., Cordero, A. (1996). An Industry Perspective on Changes Needed in Agricultural Education Curricula. Journal of Agricultural Education. Volume 37, Number 2, pp. 17-23. DOI: 10.5032/jae.1996.02017
- Skaar,B. (2013) Report provided to College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Curriculum Assessment Committee. (Available from the Department of Animal Science at Iowa State University, 1221 Kildee Hall, Ames, IA, 50010.)
- Swartz, R. J. (2000, June). Towards developing and implementing a thinking curriculum. Keynote address presented at the First Annual Thinking Qualities Initiative Conference. Hong Kong
- Wagner, T. (2008). The global achievement gap: Why even our best schools don't teach the new survival skills our children need and what we can do about it. New York, NY: Basic Books.

