A COMPARISON OF STUDENT AND PROFESSOR PERCEPTIONS OF TEACHER IMMEDIACY BEHAVIOR USE IN THE CLASSROOM





Immediacy in the Classroom

 Behaviors that bring about a perceived physical/psychological closeness.

Verbal

Calling student by name
Using humor
Encouraging discussion
Allowing for small talk

Nonverbal

Smiling

Gesturing

Eye contact

Relaxed body language

Moves around the

classroom

Positive Effects of Immediacy

- □ Increased willingness to talk in class (Menzel & Carrell, 1999)
- Less anxiety (Chesebro & McCroskey, 2001; Williams, 2010)
- □ Increased class attendance (Rocca, 2004)
- □ Increased out-of-class contact (Jaasma & Koper, 1999)
- □ Higher student evaluations (Moore et al., 1996)

Immediacy and Student Learning

 Meaningful relationship between overall teacher immediacy and overall student learning (Witt, Wheeless, and Allen, 2004)

- May be due to increases in:
 - attention (Kelley & Gorham, 1988; Comstock et al., 1995)
 - student motivation (Christophel, 1990; Richmond, 1990)
 - □ affect (Rodriguez et al., 1996)

Student vs Teacher Perceptions of Immediacy

- Strong relationship between student and teacher perceptions of immediacy. (Gorham and Zakahi, 1990)
 - Teachers have an accurate impression of how they present themselves to their students

 Significant difference between student and teacher perceptions of immediacy (Martin, 1994)

Rationale and Significance: Current Study

- □ Purpose:
 - To compare student versus professor perceptions of teacher immediacy behaviors in large college of agriculture classrooms.
- Immediacy studies not previously performed in a College of Agricultural and Life Sciences
- Professors can utilize results to improve immediacy behaviors.

Participant Description

- Professors and students in large classes (50-100 students) in the College of Agricultural and Life
 Sciences at UF
- □ Class sections: n = 10
- \square Students: n = 555

Instrumentation

- Immediacy Behavior Scale
 - Measures perceived frequency of verbal and nonverbal immediacy behavior use.
 - □ Likert-type Scale: 1=Never to 5=Very often
 - Completed by students and professors during the fall 2011 semester.
 - Professors completed a modified version of the instrument
- Student means were calculated for each item and compared to professor responses.

Data Analysis

- Student and professor responses were compared using the Borich Needs Assessment Model
 - Mean Weighted Discrepancy Scores were calculated using the following formula:
 - <u>(Σ(Professor Response Student Mean))*MPR</u>

N

- MPR = Mean of Professor Responses
- \square N = Total Cases (10)

High Discrepancy Items

□ Discrepancy scores ranged from 4.52 to -2.32.

	Student	Instructor	Discrepancy
Item	Mean	Mean	Score
Provides feedback on my individual work through comments on papers, oral discussions, etc.	3.12	4.20	4.52
Will have discussions about things unrelated to class with individual	5.12	1.20	2
students or with the class as a whole.	2.54	3.70	4.30
Moves around the classroom while teaching.	3.86	4.60	3.41
Gestures while talking to the class.	4.13	4.80	3.20
Smiles at individual students in the class.	3.59	4.30	3.06
Asks questions to solicit viewpoints or opinions.	3.76	4.40	2.80
Touches students in the class.	1.48	2.50	2.56
Is addressed by his/her first name by the students.	2.04	2.90	2.50
Asks how students feel about an assignment, due date, or discussion			
topic.	3.42	4.00	2.31
Calls on students to answer questions even if they have not indicated they want to talk.*	3.56	2.70	-2.32

Note. * Indicates non-immediate behavior; Scores have been reversed. Scale: 1 = never; 2 = rarely; 3 = occasionally; 4 = often; 5 = very often.

Low Discrepancy Items

	Student	Instructor	Discrepancy
Item	Mean	Mean	Score
Asks questions that have specific, correct answers.*	2.39	2.60	.54
Addresses me by name.	2.74	2.90	.47
Has initiated conversations with me before, after, or outside class.	2.56	2.70	.39

Note. * Indicates non-immediate behavior; Scores have been reversed. Scale: 1 = never; 2 = rarely; 3 = occasionally; 4 = often; 5 = very often.

Conclusions

- Professors reported using certain immediacy behaviors more than students reported.
 - Giving feedback
 - Discussions unrelated to content
 - Moving around room while teaching
 - Gesturing while teaching
 - Smiling at students
- Professors and students agreed that professors are not:
 - Asking open-ended questions
 - Interacting with students outside of class time
- Students and professors reported that professors call students by name
 - However, students reported that professors do not call them by name specifically.

How Can I Incorporate Immediacy Into My Classroom?

- Smile more!
- □ Talk about yourself.
- Include humor.
- Don't criticize your students.
- Don't use a dull/monotone voice while teaching.
- Learn your students' names!

	lease attach a picture of yourself to this box. You will not get your picture back so make are it's a copy.
Ν	ame:
Ν	lajor:
C	areer Goals:
H	ometown:
	obbies:
	avorite Song:

Questions?



Mommy, they'd rather be at Starbucks!