



Agricultural Education Pre-Service Teachers' Perceived Competence in an Advanced Agricultural Mechanics Course

John Ewing

Rama Radhakrishna

The Pennsylvania State University

Introduction

Secondary Agricultural Education

✓ Variety of technical areas

- ✓ Animal Science
- ✓ Plant Science
- ✓ Environmental/Natural Resources
- ✓ Youth Leadership/FFA
- ✓ Agricultural Mechanics

PENNSSTATE



Introduction

Agricultural Mechanics

✓ Variety of technical areas

- ✓ Welding
- ✓ Gas/diesel engines
- ✓ Woodworking/construction
- ✓ Electrical wiring
- ✓ Concrete/Masonry
- ✓ Plumbing
- ✓ Land Surveying

PENNSSTATE



Introduction

Pre-service Candidates

- ✓ Need to be prepared to teach effectively, and safely in all of these areas (PDE, 2015).
- ✓ Preparation in such a diverse number of areas can be difficult (Byrd, Anderson, Paulsen, & Shultz, 2015).
- ✓ Often cite agricultural mechanics to be an area of low competence (Saucier & McKim, 2011).



Theoretical Framework

- ✓ **Bandura's Self-efficacy theory**
(Bandura, 1997).
 - ✓ Connects an individual's belief in their ability to a task or action, and future choices of that individual regarding that task.
 - ✓ Pre-service teacher competence can be examined through this lens.
- ✓ Experiences provided can be examined to gather perceptions of students' self-efficacy.

PENNSSTATE



Purpose

Determine:

- ✓ Pre-service teacher candidates' perceived level of competence in agricultural mechanics.



Objective

Determine:

- ✓ The perceived change in competence of twelve instructional areas from the beginning of a course to the end of that course.



Methods

- ✓ Population – Eleven students enrolled in advanced-level agricultural mechanics course (prior to student teaching).

- ✓ Survey instrument
 - ✓ Administered on first day of class and then following completion of the course.
 - ✓ Fifty-eight Likert-type items
 - ✓ Scale (1 = Not Competent to 10 = Very Competent).

PENNSSTATE



Methods

- ✓ Twelve instructional areas
 - ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety
 - ✓ Cold Metal Work
 - ✓ Power Tools
 - ✓ Hand Tools
 - ✓ Wood Construction
 - ✓ ARC Welding
 - ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding
 - ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting
 - ✓ General Project Construction
 - ✓ Plumbing
 - ✓ Small Gas Engines
 - ✓ Concrete/Masonry

PENNSSTATE



Methods

- ✓ Data were entered into Excel and means were calculated for each of the competency areas (Pre-test and Post-test).
- ✓ Comparison of the means (Pre-test to Post-test) were conducted.

PENNSSTATE



Results

Pre-Test Competency Means

- ✓ Cold Metal Work (2.98)
- ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding (4.60)
- ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting (4.82)
- ✓ ARC Welding (5.09)
- ✓ Plumbing (5.25)
- ✓ Small Gas Engines (5.39)
- ✓ Concrete/Masonry (5.61)
- ✓ Wood Construction (5.98)
- ✓ General Project Construction (6.18)
- ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety (6.71)
- ✓ Power Tools (7.18)
- ✓ Hand Tools (7.43)



Results

Post-Test Competency Means

- ✓ Cold Metal Work (5.84)
- ✓ Small Gas Engines (6.29)
- ✓ ARC Welding (6.86)
- ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding (7.00)
- ✓ Concrete/Masonry (7.09)
- ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting (7.27)
- ✓ General Project Construction (8.12)
- ✓ Plumbing (8.12)
- ✓ Wood Construction (8.18)
- ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety (8.62)
- ✓ Power Tools (8.72)
- ✓ Hand Tools (8.75)



Results

Pre-Test/Post-Test Comparison

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ Cold Metal Work (2.98) | ✓ Cold Metal Work (5.84) |
| ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding (4.60) | ✓ Small Gas Engines (6.29) |
| ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting (4.82) | ✓ ARC Welding (6.86) |
| ✓ ARC Welding (5.09) | ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding (7.00) |
| ✓ Plumbing (5.25) | ✓ Concrete/Masonry (7.09) |
| ✓ Small Gas Engines (5.39) | ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting (7.27) |
| ✓ Concrete/Masonry (5.61) | ✓ General Project Construction (8.12) |
| ✓ Wood Construction (5.98) | ✓ Plumbing (8.12) |
| ✓ General Project Construction (6.18) | ✓ Wood Construction (8.18) |
| ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety (6.71) | ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety (8.62) |
| ✓ Power Tools (7.18) | ✓ Power Tools (8.72) |
| ✓ Hand Tools (7.43) | ✓ Hand Tools (8.75) |



Results

Pre-Test/Post-Test Comparison

- ✓ Plumbing (2.87)
- ✓ Cold Metal Work (2.86)
- ✓ Plasma Arc Cutting (2.45)
- ✓ Oxy-fuel Cutting and Welding (2.40)
- ✓ Wood Construction (2.20)
- ✓ General Project Construction (1.94)
- ✓ Laboratory Management/Safety (1.91)
- ✓ ARC Welding (1.77)
- ✓ Power Tools (1.54)
- ✓ Concrete/Masonry (1.48)
- ✓ Hand Tools (1.32)
- ✓ Small Gas Engines (.09)



Conclusions

- ✓ **Overall, competency levels increased for each of the twelve areas.**
- ✓ **Areas where students had higher perceived competence prior to the course, remained high following the course.**
- ✓ **Areas where students had low perceived competence prior to the course, remained low in comparison to other areas.**



Recommendations

- ✓ Teacher education faculty should further examine;
 - ✓ Lowest areas of perceived growth
 - ✓ Lowest post-mean scores

- ✓ Opportunities can be developed to increase perceived competency through;
 - ✓ Course changes
 - ✓ Structured opportunities outside out of class
 - ✓ Promotion of internship/work experience opportunities related to agricultural mechanics



Recommendations



- ✓ Upcoming professional development in agricultural mechanics should be designed around the current findings.

- ✓ Teacher educators should examine other program areas in a similar fashion.
 - ✓ Animal Science
 - ✓ Plant Science
 - ✓ Environmental/Natural Resources
 - ✓ Youth Leadership/FFA
 - ✓ Agricultural Mechanics

- ✓ The findings from such research could support similar professional development structure for the new and beginning teachers of Pennsylvania.

Implications

- ✓ This study has implications for:
 - ✓ Current course structure/content.
 - ✓ Providing/helping students seek out opportunities for growth in these content areas to fill gaps in knowledge/skills.
 - ✓ Professional development of teachers in the state (content, as well as structure).



PENNSSTATE



Thank you!